



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/768,885	01/30/2004	Peter Weiss	GR03P03277	7306		
75	08/02/2006	EXAMINER				
	D GREENBERG, P.A.	LOFTIN, CELESTE				
POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2617			
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/768,885	WEISS, PETER			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Celeste L. Loftin	2617			

		Ociosio E. Lonin		1 2011	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appe	ears on the cover shee	et with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>6/27/2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TI	HIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLO	DWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but p this application, applicant must timely file one places the application in condition for allowan a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) i time periods:	of the follow ice; (2) a No in complian	wing replies: (1) an ame otice of Appeal (with ap ce with 37 CFR 1.114.	endment, af peal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the	e mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing no event, however, will the statutory period for	reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS fr	rom the mailir	ng date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check eith TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136( have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 ( NOTICE OF APPEAL	e period of ex n date of the he Office late	ctension and the correspor shortened statutory period r than three months after t	nding amount d for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A befiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply notice.</li> </ol>	or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final					ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require			rch (see NC	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see		•			
(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	cation in be	itter form for appeal by	materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without	cancelina a	corresponding number	of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See	_	•	or initially to	jected ciairis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with			o of Non C	ampliant Amendment	(DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following			e or non-ci	ompliant Amendment	(F FOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)			a conorato	timely filed emendmy	ent cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).				·	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amend how the new or amended claims would be rej The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll</li> </ol>	ected is pro	☑ will not be entered, ovided below or append	, or b) ∐ w led.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<b>-</b> *				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a fin	al action, bu	it before or on the date	of filing a N	Intice of Appeal will no	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116	of good an				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the of entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it</li> </ol>	ce failed to	overcome all rejections	under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. A	n explanatio	on of the status of the c	laims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been co	neidered h	ut does NOT place the	annlication	in condition for allows	nce hecause:
See Continuation Sheet.		·			nce because.
12. 🔲 Note the attached Information Disclosure St	atement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	449) Paper	No(6).	
13.				17 . 11	
			<i>\( \)</i>	quele	
				SEPH FEILD	
		SUI	PERVISOR	RY PATENT EXAMIN	NER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments will not be entered because the added amendments of "from different networks" further limist the claims 1, 13 and 14. The limitation narrows the scope of the claim causing further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Aplicant argues that Alperovich does not disclose allowing a subscriber to be located and that the Alperovich discloses only providing a dispach system in a cellular radio telephone system. However, Alperovich discloses a method for allowing a subscriber to be located by allowing the molbile station to be tracked when moving to different location areas. As the mobile station changes location the information in the VLR is updated, thus allowing the mobile station to be located, so that the correct MSC to provide service.

Applicant argues that D'Angelo does not discloses method fore the ability or the need for the communication server to determin a geographic location. However, the communication server updates the location information when the location of the user changes, which allows a user to be located when contact is requested.

Applicant argues that neither reference, D'Angelo nor Alperovich disclose handling/providing location based services. However, a location-based service is interpreted to mean a service that is provided based on location. Both D'Angelo and Alperovich disclose providing a service based on the location for the user.